

REMARKS

Applicants have now had an opportunity to carefully consider the Examiner's comments set forth in the Office Action of June 30, 2005.

Reconsideration of the Application is requested.

THE OFFICE ACTION

Claims 1-21 remain in this application. The Examiner rejected claims 1-21 under 35 U. S. C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. He did however indicate these claims would be allowable, insofar as they are understood, if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection. Examiner states that the element of a correspondence of a particular tone-reproduction curve to multiple media types is not taught or suggested by the art of record. Applicant appreciates the indication of allowable subject matter. The claims have been amended to eliminate any ambiguity.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons detailed above, it is submitted all claims remaining in the application (Claims 1-21) are now in condition for allowance. The foregoing comments do not require unnecessary additional search or examination.

No additional fee is believed to be required for this Amendment. However, the undersigned attorney of record hereby authorizes the charging of any necessary fees, other than the issue fee, to Xerox Deposit Account No. 24-0037.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to call Patrick Roche, at Telephone Number (216) 861-5582.

Respectfully submitted,

FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN,
MINNICH & McKEE, LLP

9/30/05
Date

Patrick M. Roche
Patrick Roche, Reg. No. 29,580
1100 Superior Avenue, 7th Floor
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2579
(216) 861-5582